

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/648,700	0	8/26/2003	Yvan Fortin	9680.234US01	4051	
23552	7590	08/25/2004		EXAMINER		
MERCHAN P.O. BOX 29		ULD PC	BUMGARNER, MELBA N			
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3732			
				DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Sul				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
;	10/648,700	FORTIN, YVAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Melba Bumgarner	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relative to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions and reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N: 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a referred within the statutory minimum of thirt od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	a/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 March 2004</u> is/are						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	. ,	•				
11) The bath of declaration is objected to by the	Lammer. Note the attached	Office Action of form 1 10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the parameter application from the International Bure	•	received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	•	received.				
	Joranie Japiec Het					
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	, 	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/26/03, 3/12/04.		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/648,700

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: reference to "figure 11" on page 5 that is not part of the drawings. Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "13". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 6. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims appear to recite part of the human body in combination with the structure of the claimed invention, for example, "each implant being anchored in a bone of a maxilla". It has been held that a claim directed to or including within its scope, a human being will not be considered to be patentable subject matter under 35 USC 101. The grant of limited, but exclusive property right in a human being is prohibited by the Constitution. *In re Wakefield*, 422 F.2d 897, 164 USPQ 636 (CCPA 1970). Applicant needs to clearly state using inferential language that the human anatomy is not claimed. For examination purposes, the claims will be considered as if such limitations involving the combination with a human were not present.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nardi (5,221,206). Nardi discloses a teeth prosthesis comprising one, two or more implants (column 2 line 43), each implants having opposite ends, an opposite end defines a head 1 (column 2 line 48), a customized connecting bar 2 fastened to the implants, a pair of mating bars having a

Application/Control Number: 10/648,700

Art Unit: 3732

predetermined size and shape comprising a meso-bar 7 fastened to the connecting bar and an iso-bar 6 removably attached to the meso-bar with attaching means (column 3 line 23), the iso-bar being adapted to receive the member. Patentable weight is not given to the process by which the bars are selected, because a product claim is properly met if the final product is shown regardless of the process used, i.e. the process of selecting the predetermined size and shape from a plurality of predetermined sizes and shapes. As to claim 3, the connecting bar has a flat top part. As to claim 4, the meso-bar has a flat bottom part.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nardi. Nardi discloses a prosthesis that shows the limitations as described above; however, Nardi does not show the meso-bar fastened to the connecting bar with at least four fasteners. It would have been an obvious matter of choice to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as to the specific number of fasteners used to connect the connecting bar and the meso-bar. The number of fasteners is not critical to the claimed invention. As to claims 6 and 7, Nardi does not show the connecting bar made of gold or palladium and the mating bars of titanium. It would have been an obvious matter of choice to one of ordinary skill in the art as to the specific material of the prosthesis. The specific material is not disclosed as critical to the claimed invention as the specification state that these are the preferred materials.

Art Unit: 3732

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bartoli (4,225,668) and Branemark (4,767,328) are cited to show the state of the art with respect to a teeth prosthesis.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Melba Bumgarner whose telephone number is 703-305-0740. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melba Bungamer

Melba Bungamer

Patent Examiner